IAEA Training in level 1 PSA and PSA applications

Basic Level 1. PSA course for analysts



Reliability data analysis - use of generic and/or plantspecific data





- Types of data for PSA
- Reliability models
- Data sources
- Use of the different data sources
- Reliability data collection
 - Grouping of components
 - What to collect?
 - Data collection system
 - Data assessment problems



• Initiating event data

Initiating event frequencies

- ♦ f_{IE} (1/year)
- PSA basic event data
 - component random failures
 - unavailabilities due to test or maintenance
 - human errors
- probabilities
 - reliability parameters



Types of data for PSA - Initiating event data

- Frequent events
 - information <u>collected</u> at the plant
 - derive frequency from the number of events occurring over a time period
- Infrequent events
 - System analysis to derive system failure rates

 e.g. fault tree analysis

 Expert judgement



Types of data for PSA - PSA basic event data

- Component random failures
 - Failures Standby components
 - Typically failures of components of safety systems, normally waiting for their mission. Under required conditions they must start and run for the mission period.
 - example failure modes:
 - fail to operate on demand
 - fail to continue running during the mission time
 - Failures of running components
 - Typically failures of components of systems in operation during normal conditions, which must continue running during fault conditions
 - example failure modes:
 - fail to continue running during the mission time



Types of data for PSA - PSA basic event data (Cont.)

- Test and maintenance unavailabilities
 - Probability not functioning due to being under maintenance, or being under test.
 - depends on plant practice => plant specific estimation!
- Human errors
 - not covered here, presentation later
- Common cause events
 - sometimes handled as basic events based on parametric models, not covered here, presentation later

Reliability data anal

Reliability data analysis - use of generic and/or plant-specific data

Reliability models Tested stand-by components

Hardware failure probability

$$1 - \frac{1 - e^{-\lambda_s T}}{\lambda_s T} \approx \frac{\lambda_s T}{2}$$

where λ_s is the stand-by failure rate (1/hour), and T is the test period (hour)

Data requirements:

 λ_{s} number of observed failures



Reliability models Tested stand-by components

Test outage

 $rac{ au}{T}q_0$

where τ is average test duration (hour), T is the test period (hour) and q_0 override unavailability (if applicable) Data requirements: τ observed test durations



Reliability models Tested stand-by components

Repair outage

 $\lambda_{S}T_{R}$

where λ_s is the stand-by failure rate (1/hour), and T_R is main time to repair (hour) Data requirements: λ_s number of observed failures T_R observed repair durations



Reliability models Tested stand-by components

Scheduled maintenance

 $f_m T_m$

where f_m is the maintenance frequency (1/hour), and T_m is the average maintenance duration (hour) Data requirements: T_m observed maintenance duration



Reliability models Tested stand-by components

Untested stand-by component

$$1 - \frac{1 - e^{-\lambda_S T_p}}{\lambda_S T_p}$$

- where λ_s is the stand-by failure rate (1/hour), and T_p is the fault exposure time (hour) Data requirements: λ_s number of observed failures
 - T_p component replacement time



Reliability models Tested stand-by components

Monitored stand-by component

$$\frac{\lambda_S T_R}{1 + \lambda_S T_R}$$

where λ_s is the stand-by failure rate (1/hour), and T_R is main time to repair (hour)

Data requirements:

 λ_{s} number of observed failures T_{R} observed repair durations



Reliability models On-line components

Non-repairable component

$$1-e^{-\lambda_O T_M}$$

where λ_{\odot} is the operating failure rate (1/hour), and T_M is PSA mission time (hour) Data requirements: λ_{\odot} number of observed failures



Reliability models On-line components

On-line repairable component

$$\frac{\lambda_O T_R}{1 + \lambda_O T_R}$$

where λ_{\odot} is the operating failure rate (1/hour), and T_{R} is main time to repair (hour)

Data requirements:

 λ_{O} number of observed failures T_{R} observed repair durations



Data sources

- Statistical data, not probabilistic
- Exact solution:
 - n failures out of N demands:

$$P = \lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{n(N)}{N}$$

• Estimation:

$$P = \frac{n}{N}$$

(the larger N is the better estimation we have)



Data sources (Cont.)

- Plant specific data
 - plant event records
 - test records
 - maintenance records
 - defect records
 - component reliability data collection
- Data from similar plants
 - type specific data



Data sources (Cont.)

• Generic data

International data bases: IAEA-TECDOC-478 : Component reliability data for use in PSA

European Industry Reliability Databank,

- some US references
 - INITIATING EVENT FREQUENCIES
 - NUREG/CR-5750, FEBRUARY 1999
 - LOSS OF OFFSITE POWER
 - NUREG/CR-5496, NOVEMBER 1998
 - ♦ SPECIFIC SYSTEMS (RPS, AFW, OTHERS)
 - NUREG/CR-5500, CONTINUING



Use of the different data sources

- Plant data should be most appropriate
 - often not available in usable form
- If plant data not available, use data from similar plant
- If no suitable data available, select generic databases that are relevant to the plant type (taking into account any plant features) and use expert judgement to select the most appropriate data (What we expect from the component behaviour in the future?)



Grouping of components

GOALS OF GROUPING INCREASE OF STATISTICAL SIGNIFICANCE REDUCTION EFFORTS FOR COLLECTION AND PROCESSING STREAMLINED INTEGRATION WITH PSA MODELS

ADVANTAGES

- BROADER BASIS, INCREASED SIGNIFICANCE OF POPULATION
- SIMPLIFIED DATA COLLECTION AND DB DESIGN

DRAWBACKS

- MASKING TRENDS AND PECULIARITIES
- ➡ MEANINGLESS AVERAGES



Grouping of components

Guidance on grouping - PUMPS

ACCEPTABLE GROUPING

- MOTOR CENTRIFUGAL PUMPS (VERTICAL; HORIZONTAL)
- ⇒ RHR, CS AND SI; CW AND SW; CVCS AND HPSI

GROUPING DISCOURAGED

- Sentrifugal and PDPS; MOTOR and TURBINE
- REACTOR AND COOLING WATER
- ⇒ MFW AND AFW (EFW)
- SCREEN WASH AND SW
- ➡ RHR AND CONDENSATE



Grouping of components

Guidance on grouping - BREAKERS

ACCEPTABLE GROUPING

- SIMILAR DESIGN AND VOLTAGE LEVEL
- SIMILAR FREQUENCY OF OPERATION
- SIMILAR MAINTENANCE/TESTING FREQUENCY

GROUPING DISCOURAGED

- ➡ FREQUENTLY VS. NON-FREQUENTLY OPERATED
- DIFFERENT DESIGN, VOLTAGE LEVEL (HV LESS RELIABLE)
- CIRCUIT BREAKERS AND DISCONNECTS
- POWER BREAKERS AND SWITCHES



Grouping of components

Guidance on grouping - TRANSDUCERS

ACCEPTABLE GROUPING

- ALL TRANSDUCERS FOR SIMILAR MEASUREMENT
- THE APPLICATION OR ENVIRONMENT MATER LITTLE

GROUPING DISCOURAGED

- ⇒ INSTRUMENTS OF DIFFERENT DESIGN
- DIFFERENT MEASUREMENT
- ⇒ DIFFERENT MANUFACTURER (case by case)





- DATA NEEDS: FOR FAILURE RATES
- NUMBER OF FAILURES OVER TIME
 - n, Texp
- NUMBER OF FAILURES ON DEMAND
 - n, Ndem
- FOR TEST UNAVAILABILITIES
 - test frequency, test duration
- FOR MAINTENANCE UNAVAILABILITIES
 - frequency and duration of planned maintenance,
 - number and duration of corrective maintenance



Data collection system

- Data collection possibilities:
- "One shot" task
 - analysis of the past experience investigating maintenance, test records, operator logbooks, etc. in order to estimate the PSA parameters.
- -> sometimes the available information is not complete, and results in optimistic estimations

Establish component reliability data collection system, consisting of: personnel responsible for data collection computerised database to record the data, and perform data assessment and calculation of PSA parameters and uncertainties

Establish multipurpose reliability data collection system



Data assessment problems

Use of test records:

Standby components may only be tested for short periods, not long enough to provide good statistical data. There is a danger that the data will be too pessimistic. It may therefore be necessary to use generic data until sufficient site data has been gathered. Sometimes, the testing regime will never enable sufficient running hours to be established consideration of a change to the test regime may be beneficial.



Data assessment problems (Cont.)

- EQUIPMENT CHARACTERISTICS SHOULD BE CONSISTENT WITH PSA MODELS
 - LEVEL OF DETAIL
 - COMPONENT BOUNDARIES
 - DEFINITION OF FAILURE

Sometimes it is difficult to reach





- IAEA-TECDOC-478 Component reliability data for use in probabilistic safety assessment (1988)
- IAEA-TECDOC-508 Survey of ranges of Component reliability data for use in probabilistic safety assessment (1989)